

# Existence results about the nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson equations

Jinmyoung Seok

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Seoul National University

December 1, 2012

# Nonlinear Schrödinger equations (1)

- For  $N \geq 2$ , consider the following equation

$$-\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2}u, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1)$$

where  $p \in (2, 2^*)$ ,  $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$  if  $N > 2$  and  $2^* = \infty$  if  $N = 2$ .

- $\tilde{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution  $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{u}$  is a critical point of the functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 - \frac{1}{p}|u|^p dx, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2)$$

## Nonlinear Schrödinger equations (2)

- There is a positive radial solution and are infinitely many radial solutions of (1) (Strauss, 1977)
- There is no nontrivial solution for  $p \geq 2^*$ .
- The positive radial solution of (1) is unique (Kwong, 1989)
- Every positive solution of (1) is radially symmetric about some point in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg, 1981)
- There exists a  $k$ -sign changing radial solution of (1) for given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (Bartsch and Willem, 1993)

# Nonlinear Schrödinger equations (3)

- Consider the Schrödinger equation with more general nonlinearity

$$-\Delta u + u = f(u), \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3)$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and satisfies the following conditions :

- ▶ (F1)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t)/t = 0$
  - ▶ (F2)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)/t^p < \infty$  for some  $p \in (1, (N+2)/(N-2))$
  - ▶ (F3)  $\frac{1}{2}T^2 < F(T)$  for some  $T > 0$  where  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$
- We call the condition (F1)  $\sim$  (F3) the Berestycki-Lions condition.

## Nonlinear Schrödinger equations (4)

- There is a positive radial least energy solution of (3). If  $f$  is odd, there are infinitely many radial solutions (Berestycki and Lions, 1983)
- Uniqueness of the positive solution of (3) is not known.
- Any least energy solution of (3) is radially symmetric up to translation (Byeon, Jeanjean and Maris, 2009)
- The Berestycki-Lions condition is almost optimal for the existence.

# Nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson equations

- Consider the following system of equations (NSP system)

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \lambda \phi u = |u|^{p-2} u, \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

$|u|^2 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  : particle density

$\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  : electric potential

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  : coupling constant

- This system describes systems of identically charged particles interacting each other in the case that magnetic effects could be ignored, and its solution is, in particular, a standing wave for such a system.

## Reducing to a single equation

- One can solve  $\phi$  in term of  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , i.e.,

$$\phi_u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(y)}{4\pi|x-y|^2} dy \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad (5)$$

where  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{v \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) | \nabla v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$ .

- Define an energy functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(x)u^2(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dydx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx \quad (6)$$

where  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 dx$ .

- Then, a critical point  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a solution of

$$-\Delta u + u + \lambda \phi_u u = |u|^{p-2} u. \quad (7)$$

## Known results (when $\lambda > 0$ )

- If  $3 < p < 6$ , there is a positive radial solution for all  $\lambda > 0$  (Ruiz, 2006).

If  $2 < p \leq 3$ , there is no solution for  $\lambda \geq 1/4$  and there are at least two solutions for sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$  (Ruiz, 2006).

- There is a positive least energy solution for  $3 < p < 6$  and all  $\lambda > 0$  (Azzollini and Pomponio, 2008).
- There are infinitely many radial solutions for  $3 < p < 6$  and all  $\lambda > 0$  (Ambrosetti and Ruiz, 2008).



## Questions (when $\lambda > 0$ )

- **Question 1** Is any positive solution radially symmetric up to translation? ← Not known
- **Question 2** Are there sign changing solutions?  
← YES for  $4 < p < 6$  and every  $\lambda > 0$

Consider a problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \phi u = f(u), \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We remind that if  $f(u) = |u|u$ , there is no solution but if  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ ,  $2 < p < 6$ , there are infinitely many solution.

- **Question 3** If  $f(u) = |u|u \log |u|$ , is there a solution? ← YES

## Answers to Questions 3 and 4

### Theorem (Kim and S, 2011)

*For  $4 < p < 6$ , Choose an arbitrary natural number  $k$ . then there exists a solution of NSP system changing sign exactly  $k$ -times.*

### Theorem (S, 2011)

*For the nonlinearity  $f(u) = |u|u \log |u|$ , there exist infinitely many solutions of NSP system.*

# Idea of proof of the first theorem (1)

We introduce some notations. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- $\Lambda := \{r = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \mid 0 =: r_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_k < r_{k+1} := \infty\}$
- $A_{i,r} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : r_{i-1} < |x| < r_i\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k+1$  and  $r \in \Lambda$
- $H_{i,r} := \{u \in L^2(A_{i,r}) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(A_{i,r}), u(x) = u(|x|),$   
 $u = 0 \text{ on } \partial A_{i,r}\}$
- $\tilde{H}_r = H_{1,r} \times \dots \times H_{k+1,r}$
- $\|u\|_{i,r} := \int_{A_{i,r}} (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2)$

## Idea of proof of the first theorem (2)

- Define an energy functional  $E_r : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$E_r(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \|u_i\|_{i,r}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \int_{A_{i,r}} \int_{A_{j,r}} \frac{u_i^2(x)u_j^2(y)}{|x-y|} dydx - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \int_{A_{i,r}} |u_i|^p \quad (9)$$

where  $u_i \in H_{i,r}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k+1$ .

- Each component  $u_i$  of a critical point  $(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1})$  of  $E_r$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i + u_i + \phi u_i = |u_i|^{p-2} u_i \text{ in } A_{i,r}, \\ -\Delta \phi = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} u_i \right)^2, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

## Idea of proof of the first theorem (3)

- Define a set

$$\mathcal{N}_r = \{(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) \in \tilde{H}_r \mid u_i \neq 0, \partial_{u_i} E_r(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) u_i = 0 \text{ for } \forall i\}$$

- Consider a constrained minimization problem

$$W_r := \min_{u \in \mathcal{N}_r} E_r(u)$$

- Show that  $W_r$  is attained by a minimizer  $w_r \in \mathcal{N}_r$  and every minimizer is a critical point of  $E_r$ .
- Redefine  $w_r$  as  $(|w_1|, -|w_2|, \dots, (-1)^{i+1}|w_i|, \dots, (-1)^{k+2}|w_{k+1}|)$   
Then it is still a minimizer.

## Idea of proof of the first theorem (4)

- Minimize  $E_r(w_r)$  over all  $r \in \Lambda$ . Show that there is a minimizer  $r_0$ .
- Finally, show that  $w_{r_0}$  solves the problem in whole domain  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In other words, it solves the problem at  $\partial A_{i,r_0}$  for all  $i$ .

Here are some comments.

- We need the restriction of the range of  $p \in (4, 6)$  to attain  $W_r$  for each  $r \in \Lambda$ .
- For case of remaining range of  $p \in (3, 4)$ , problem is still open.

## Second theorem

In fact, we can prove the following more general result.

### Theorem (S,2011)

Suppose the following structure conditions hold

- (F1)  $f$  is continuous and odd;
- (F2)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)/t = 0$ ,  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)/t^p < \infty$  for some  $p \in (1, 5)$ ;
- (F3)  $\frac{2f(t)}{t^2} - \frac{F(t)}{t^3}$  increase to infinity as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then there exist infinitely many radial solutions of (8).

Note that  $f(t) = |t|t \log |t|$  satisfies (F1)  $\sim$  (F3).

## Known results (when $\lambda < 0$ )

Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson equation with negative  $\lambda$ :

$$-\Delta u + u + \lambda \phi_u u = f(u). \quad (11)$$

- If  $f(u) = -|u|^{p-2}u$ ,  $2 < p < 4$ , there is a positive radial solution for all  $\lambda < 0$  (Mugnai, 2011).
- If  $f(u) = -|u|^{p-2}u$ ,  $4 \leq p < 6$ , there is a positive radial solution for countably many  $\lambda < 0$  (Mugnai, 2011).



## Questions (when $\lambda < 0$ )

- **Question 4** For  $4 \leq p < 6$  and  $f(u) = -|u|^{p-2}u$ , can we widen the existence range of  $\lambda < 0$ ?

← YES (for sufficiently large  $|\lambda|$ , there is a solution)

- **Question 5** For the nonlinearity  $|u|^{p-2}u$ , is there a solution?

← YES (for sufficiently large  $|\lambda|$ , there is a solution)

## Answers for Question 4 and 5

### Theorem (Jeong and S, 2012)

Suppose that  $\lambda < 0$  and  $f$  satisfies

(F1)  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

(F2)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} f(s)/s = 0$  and  $\limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} |f(s)|/|s|^p < \infty$  for some  $p \in (1, 5)$ .

Then, for sufficiently large  $|\lambda|$ , there exists a solution.

## Idea of proof (1)

- By defining  $u(x) = \varepsilon v(x)$  with  $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{-\lambda}$ , the equation is equivalent to

$$-\Delta v + v - \phi_v v = f_\varepsilon(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (12)$$

where

$$f_\varepsilon(v) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(\varepsilon v)$$

and we easily see that  $f_\varepsilon(v) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  from (F2).

- As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain an equation

$$-\Delta v + v - \phi_v v = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

which is called the Choquard equation.

## Idea of proof (2)

- Define a functional  $I_\varepsilon(u) = I_0(u) + J_\varepsilon(u)$  on  $H := H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  by

$$I_0(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u u^2 dx, \quad J_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\varepsilon(u) dx,$$

where  $F_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} F(\varepsilon u)$ .

- A critical point of  $I_\varepsilon$  is a solution of our problem.
- We want to find a critical point of  $I_\varepsilon$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , i.e., for sufficiently large  $|\lambda|$ .
- Since  $J_\varepsilon(u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $I_\varepsilon$  is a small perturbation of  $I_0$  for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

## Idea of proof (3)

(M1)  $I_0(0) = 0$ , there exist  $c, r > 0$  such that if  $\|u\| = r$ , then  $I_0(u) \geq c$  and there exists a  $v_0 \in H$  such that  $\|v_0\| > r$  and  $I_0(v_0) < 0$ ;

(M2) there exists a critical point  $u_0 \in H$  of  $I_0$  such that

$$I_0(u_0) = C_0 := \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I_0(\gamma(s)),$$

where  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = v_0\}$ ;

(M3) it holds that

$$C_0 = \inf_{\{u \in H \mid I_0'(u) = 0, u \neq 0\}} I_0(u);$$

(M4) the set  $S := \{u \in H \mid I_0'(u) = 0, I_0(u) = C_0\}$  is compact in  $H$ ;

(M5) there exists a curve  $\gamma_0(s) \in \Gamma$  passing through  $u_0$  at  $s = s_0$  and satisfying

$$I_0(u_0) > I_0(\gamma_0(s)) \quad \text{for all } s \neq s_0.$$

(J)  $J_\varepsilon$  and  $J'_\varepsilon$  are compact and satisfy for any  $M > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\|u\| \leq M} |J_\varepsilon(u)| = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\|u\| \leq M} \|J'_\varepsilon(u)\| = 0;$$

## Idea of proof (4)

- We define a modified mountain pass energy level of  $I_\varepsilon$

$$C_\varepsilon = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma_M} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I_\varepsilon(\gamma(s)),$$

where

$$\Gamma_M = \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \|\gamma(s)\| \leq M \right\}$$

$$M := 2 \max \left\{ \sup_{u \in S} \|u\|, \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \|\gamma_0(s)\| \right\}.$$

- By the choice of  $M$ , we see that  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_M$  and thus

$$C_0 = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma_M} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I_0(\gamma(s)).$$

## Idea of proof (5)

- We can prove that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\varepsilon = C_0$ .
- Assume that there is no critical point of  $I_\varepsilon$  on any small neighborhood of  $S$ . Then, we can deform  $\gamma_0(s)$  along the direction of  $-I'_\varepsilon$  and obtain a curve  $\tilde{\gamma}(s) \in \Gamma_M$  satisfying

$$\max_{s \in [0,1]} I_\varepsilon(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \leq \max_{s \in [0,1]} I_\varepsilon(\gamma_0(s)) - \delta = C_0 - \delta,$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

- Then, for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we see  $\max_{s \in [0,1]} I_\varepsilon(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) < C_\varepsilon$ , which is a contradiction.

Thank you for your attention!